

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : G06F 9/46	A2	(11) International Publication Number: WO 94/15287 (43) International Publication Date: 7 July 1994 (07.07.94)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP93/03498</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 10 December 1993 (10.12.93)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 3935/92-3 23 December 1992 (23.12.92) CH</p> <p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): CENTRE ELECTRONIQUE HORLOGER S.A. [CH/CH]; 71, rue de la Maladière, CH-2007 Neuchâtel (CH).</p> <p>(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): PEROTTO, Jean-Félix [CH/CH]; 23b, rue Notre-Dame, CH-2013 Colombier (CH). LAMOTHE, Christian [FR/CH]; 39, Bel-Air, CH-2000 Neuchâtel (CH).</p> <p>(74) Agent: ICB; Ingénieurs Conseils en Brevets S.A., 6, passage Max. Meuron, CH-2001 Neuchâtel (CH).</p>	<p>(81) Designated States: CA, JP, KR, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).</p> <p>Published <i>Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.</i></p>	

(54) Title: MULTI-TASKING LOW-POWER CONTROLLER

(57) Abstract

The invention concerns a multitasking controller comprising task storage means (2) for storing up to N tasks (P0, P1, P2, P3) each comprising a sequence of instructions, a microprocessor for processing, by time-sharing, a plurality of said N tasks, and data storage means (12), for storing variable data created and used by said microprocessor. The microprocessor further comprises a scheduler (7) realised in hardware for controlling the use of said microprocessor by said processes, and program counter storage means for storing N program counters (Pc0, Pc1, Pc2, Pc3) each for use by said scheduler (7) to control the instruction sequence of a separate one of said N processes, so that said scheduler (7) is able to select a different one of said program counters (Pc0, Pc1, Pc2, Pc3) when the task processed by said microprocessor is changed without requiring the transfer of data from said data storage means (12).



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

MULTI-TASKING LOW-POWER CONTROLLER

This invention relates generally to multitasking controllers comprising a microprocessor for treating, by time-sharing, a plurality of tasks each comprising a series of instructions, task storage means, such as a ROM, for storing a number of said tasks, and data storage means, such as a RAM, for storing variable data created and used by said microprocessor. The multitasking controller is suitable for use in horological applications, and it will be convenient to hereinafter disclose the invention in relation to that exemplary application. It is to be appreciated, however, that the invention is not limited to that application.

Many applications in the horological field are based on tasks comprising a series of sequential instructions. A microcomputer is often used to perform such tasks and thus provide a watch with its desired functionality. Existing microcomputers comprise a read-only-memory or ROM for storing the instructions of each task to be performed, a microprocessor for performing the operations necessary to carry out these instructions and a random-access-memory or RAM for storing variable data created and used by the microprocessor. The microprocessor comprises notably an arithmetic-logic-unit or ALU for performing arithmetic and logic operations and a series of registers for storing the results of these operations. Control, data and address buses connect the microprocessor, ROM, RAM and an I/O interface so as to allow communication between these elements and the exterior environment.

The realisation of such tasks using a microcomputer is often difficult to achieve due to the existence of several severe constraints. Not only must each of the tasks be performed in real time, but the microcomputer must react quickly to signals created either internally or from the user. Ideally, these tasks should also be

structured and executed in a way which consumes as little power as possible.

Another challenge presented to watch designers is to reconstruct the operation of a number of watch functions, which are required to occur at the same time, with tasks that require the sequential performance of a series of instructions. For example, the action of a push-button on a watch may be required to simultaneously cause the operation of a stepper motor, whilst at the same time cause a chronograph to turn.

In order to address this problem, some watches have been designed in which microcomputers are used to perform several tasks concurrently by the technique of multitasking or time-sharing. In multitasking, a portion of each task in the ROM which must be performed is allowed use of the microprocessor in turn. Rapid cycling of the tasks executed makes it appear to the user that each task is being performed continuously and simultaneously with the other tasks.

The concurrent execution of tasks by a microprocessor is conventionally done by means of a software mechanism called a scheduler. Such a mechanism is realised as a series of instructions stored in a ROM, and manages the above sequencing of the diverse tasks performed by the microprocessor.

This manner of execution, although commonly used elsewhere in the computer industry, is nevertheless not well suited to the horological domain. The burden of the extra instructions introduced by the scheduler increases the effective size of the tasks performed by the microprocessor and equally reduces its performance in terms of speed and power consumption.

In order to minimize the reduction in processing speed resulting from the additional instructions which must be performed in task management, the size of the task portions performed in turn by the microprocessor are often

made several instructions long so that tasks are changed as infrequently as possible. However, this reduces the reaction time of the watch to user inputs and other requests to initiate a task, which inputs and requests can only be acted upon after several instructions of another task have been executed.

Further, the execution of many of the tasks performed by the microprocessor also requires the execution of one or more sub-routines of instructions within that task. When a sub-routine is initiated from within a task in an existing multitasking controller, additional instructions are required so that the value of the program counter indicating the address of the instruction at which the task is to later recommence, after execution of the subroutine, can be firstly be saved in the RAM. The values in the accumulator register and index register may also be required to be saved. The extra instructions needed to perform these data transfer operations further increase the effective size of the tasks performed by the microprocessor and reduce its operating speed and power consumption.

It is an object of the present invention to provide a multitasking controller which alleviates or overcomes the disadvantages of the prior art.

With this object in mind, the present invention provides a multitasking controller comprising task storage means for storing up to N tasks each comprising a sequence of instructions, a microprocessor for processing, by time-sharing, a plurality of said N tasks, and data storage means for storing variable data created and used by said microprocessor, characterised in that said microprocessor further comprises a scheduler realised in hardware for controlling the use of said microprocessor by said processes, and program counter storage means for storing N program counters each for use by said scheduler to control the instruction sequence of a separate one of said N

processes, so that said scheduler is able select a different one of said program counters when the task processed by said microprocessor is changed without requiring the transfer of data from said data storage means.

The provision of separate program counters for each task and a scheduler realised in hardware enables the more efficient management of the tasks treated by the microprocessor, by allowing the program counters to be changed without requiring the transfer of data to and from the data storage means which thus reduces the number of instructions stored in the ROM. The need to execute a number of additional instructions during the management of the tasks is avoided, thereby reducing the power consumption of the controller, increasing the speed with which the tasks are performed and enabling the size of the portions which treated by the microprocessor to be reduced. The tasks executed can also be cycled more rapidly, thus improving the response time of the controller.

Advantageously, the multitasking controller also comprises separate accumulator value storage means for storing a separate accumulator value for each task, so that the microprocessor is able to select a different one of said accumulator values, when the task treated by said microprocessor is changed, without requiring the transfer of data from said data storage means.

The multitasking controller may further comprise index value storage means for storing a separate index value for each of the tasks, so that said microprocessor is able to select a different one of said index values, when the task treated by said microprocessor is changed, without requiring the transfer of data from said data storage means.

In yet another embodiment of the present invention, the microprocessor is configurable so as to process a

portion of each of X said tasks in turn, where $1 < X < N$, the program counter of one or more of the remaining $N-X$ tasks being used by said microprocessor to control the instruction sequence of a sub-routine called from within said X tasks.

Thus, when the number of tasks required to be processed is less than the maximum number of tasks that the microprocessor can treat, the program counter of one or more of the remaining unused processes is able to be used to keep track of the instruction sequence of a sub-routine called from within in a task. The program counter which was in use when the sub-routine was called is thus retained for use when the sub-routine has been executed. The use of the scheduler of the present invention enables the minimization of both the data transfer required when the sub-routine is called and the number of additional instructions needed to perform this data transfer. As mentioned above, this reduces the power consumed by the controller, increases its response time and leads to the more efficient management of its tasks.

The following description refers in more detail to the various features of the multitasking controller of the present invention. To facilitate an understanding of the invention, reference is made in the description to the accompanying drawings where the multitasking controller is illustrated in a preferred embodiment. It is to be understood that the multitasking controller of the present invention is not limited to the preferred embodiment as illustrated in the drawings.

In the drawings:

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram illustrating the principle of operation of one embodiment of the multitasking controller of the present invention;

Figure 2 is a schematic diagram illustrating the management of the processes treated by the multitasking controller of figure 1;

Figure 3 is a schematic diagram illustrating the task configuration of the controller of figure 1 with respect to differing levels of sub-routines;

Figure 4 is a schematic diagram illustrating the management of the sub-routines depicted in figure 3 by the controller of figure 1; and

Figure 5 is a schematic diagram showing the manner in which internal and external events are routed to and used to request initiation of the processes executed by the controller of figure 1.

Referring now to figure 1, there is shown generally a multitasking controller 1 comprising principally a ROM 2, an instruction register/decoder 3, a resource group 4, an event bank 5, a task manager 6 and separate registers for storing each of four program counters Pc0, Pc1, Pc2 and Pc3. The ROM 2 stores the series of instructions of up to four tasks which are used by the controller 1 to perform desired functions. Each of these tasks uses a separate one of the program counters Pc0, Pc1, Pc2 and Pc3 to keep track of its instruction sequence. Each program counter is thus used to point to a memory location in the ROM 2 containing the next instruction within a task to be executed. It will be appreciated that although four tasks and four corresponding program counters have been used in this embodiment, any number of tasks and corresponding program counters may be used in other embodiments of the invention.

Each of the tasks contained in the ROM 2 can be activated by an external event signal sent from a device peripheral to the controller 1 or by an internal event signal sent from within the controller 1. Many such signals may be provided to a multitasking controller used in an horological application. These event signals are sent to the event bank 5 which treats them in groups. The number of groups may correspond to the number of tasks stored in the ROM 2, although in other embodiments another

number of groups be provided. Upon the receipt of any event signal from a particular group, the event bank 5 sends an event group signal to the task manager 6.

The task manager 6 comprises a scheduler 7, an event router 8 and two stack-pointers 9 and 10. The event router 8 receives each event group signal sent from the event bank 5 and generates a task request signal to request the initiation of one of the tasks stored in the ROM 2. The event router 8 may be configurable so that the task whose initiation is requested by each task request signal can be selected.

The scheduler 7 receives the tasks request signals sent from the event router 8 and manages the execution of each task thus requested. A portion of each active task (i.e. one whose initiation has been requested) is treated in turn by the controller 1 according to the task pointed to by the scheduler 7. As each task is executed, its program counter is used to keep track of which instruction in the ROM 2 is performed. When a portion of a first task has been executed, the scheduler 7 causes a portion of a second task to be treated by using the program counter of that second task to indicate the next instruction to be treated. As each task has its own program counter, the execution of each task can be easily suspended and then recommenced at the correct instruction.

In particular, the value of each program counter need not be transferred to and saved in a data register elsewhere in the controller 1 each time a portion of its corresponding task has just been executed, and transferred back to and stored in a common program counter each time a new portion of its task is to be executed. Advantageously, this enables one or more of the task portions executed by the controller 1 to be only one instruction long, so as to minimize the response time of the controller 1.

As will be explained later, one or more of the program counters may be used to initiate a sub-routine

from within a task. When a sub-routine is called, the program counter currently in use is saved in order that the following instruction can be performed when the sub-routine has been executed. The stack-pointers 9 and 10 are used to point to an unused program counter when a sub-routine is initiated, which program counter is then used to keep track of the instruction sequence in that sub-routine. The program counter in use when the sub-routine was called is thus preserved in order to keep track of the instruction sequence to be continued when the sub-routine has been executed.

The resource group 4 comprises an arithmetic logic unit or ALU 11, random access memory or RAM 12, an accumulator group 13 and an index register group 14. This latter group of registers is needed only if indexed addressing is used by the tasks executed by the controller 1.

The ALU 11 and the RAM 12 are shared resources and can be accessed by all tasks executed by the controller 1. Semaphores are used to control access to the shared resources of the controller 1 in the following manner. When a task uses a shared resource, a bit in a selected data register is set busy. When this task has finished using the shared resource, this bit is set free. The state of this bit is tested by all tasks wishing to access a shared resource, and if the bit has been set busy by earlier task, the later task must wait until the bit is set free.

The accumulator register group 13 consists of four accumulators 13a, 13b, 13c and 13d, whilst the index register group 14 consists of four index registers 14a, 14b, 14c and 14d. Together with the program counters Pc0 to Pc3, the accumulators 13a to 13d and the index registers 14a to 14d comprise resources within the controller 1 which are not able to be shared between the

various tasks, but rather can be used by one particular task only in a given application.

When a current task is executed by the controller 1, the instruction to which the program counter being used by that process points is sent by the ROM 2 to the instruction register/decoder 3. Therein, the instruction is examined and its bits are transformed into a language understood by the ALU 11, data buffers, address buffers, timing circuits and various registers of the controller 1.

The ALU 11 receives decoded instructions from the instruction register/decoder 3 and performs the arithmetic or logic operations needed to carry out that instruction. The accumulator associated with the current task provides data to the ALU 11 so that these arithmetic or logic operations can be performed. The results of these operations are then returned to that accumulator. The RAM 12 is used to store data created and used in the performance of these operations.

The index register associated with the task currently being executed by the controller 1 is used to store an address employed by the task being executed as an offset value. A specified address is augmented by this offset value so as to point to another address in the controller 1 storing a desired operand for the ALU 11 or other required data.

The provision of a separate accumulator and, if needed, a separate index register for each task executed by the controller 1 thus enables each active task to use and keep its own accumulator and index values without requiring the transfer of data to and from the RAM 12 when the tasks are cycled within the controller 1.

As indicated above, the instruction register/decoder 3 also provides signals to the event bank 5 upon the occurrence of selected internal events within the controller 1.

The scheduler 7 is realised in the form of a hardware device, which is separate from the ROM 2 and RAM 12, so that the changing of tasks can be achieved without requiring the transfer of data involved in the storage and recall of the program counters, accumulator values and index values of each task in the RAM 12. A hardware device such as a hardwired finite state machine, programmable logic array, separate ROM, or other similar device may be used to realise the scheduler 7.

The management of the tasks stored in the ROM 2 by the controller 1 will now be explained in more detail. Figure 2 shows schematically the event bank 5, the event router 8 and the scheduler 7 of figure 1. The four tasks which can be executed by the controller 1 are labelled P0, P1, P2 and P3. The scheduler 7 executes, in turn, an instruction of each active task according to a circular priority scheme. Thus, if each of the four tasks are active, the scheduler 7 executes sequentially one instruction of each task. If, however, only one task is active, the scheduler 7 executes sequentially the instructions of that task without any delay, and acting in this way as a monoproccessor.

The tasks P0, P1, P2 and P3 are each connected to the event router 8 by a separate bistable process enable switch, respectively labelled PE0, PE1, PE2 and PE3. Each of task is executed when a signal to request the initiation of a corresponding task is received from the event router 8. The task enable switches PE0, PE1, PE2 and PE3 either allow or prevent, depending upon their open or closed state, the tasks P0, P1, P2 and P3 to receive the task request signals Req0, Req1, Req2 and Req3 respectively. Under certain conditions, it may be desirable to prevent the access of a task request signal to the scheduler 7 by setting one or more of the task enable switches to an open state. For example, this facility may be used so that one task (e.g. a time

adjustment function) is able to temporarily suspend the activity of one or more of the other tasks (e.g. the movement of the second hand). The task enable switches may be realised as individually settable bits within a data register in the task manager 6.

Figure 3 represents some of the various ways in which each of the four tasks P0, P1, P2 and P3 may be used by the controller 1. In the case a), only the task P0 is active. As will be explained below, the non-shareable resources of the tasks P1, P2 and P3 are available to create levels of subroutines within the task P0 in this configuration.

In the case b), the tasks P0 and P3 are active. The non-shareable resources of the remaining two processes are in this case able to be used to create sub-routine levels, and can be shared in three different ways between the tasks P0 and P3. Firstly, the non-shareable resources of each of the two tasks P1 and P2 may be used to call subroutines from within the task P0, whilst the task P3 has no sub-routine levels available to it. Secondly, the non-shareable resources of each of the two tasks P1 and P2 may be used to call subroutines from the process P3, whilst the process P0 has no sub-routine levels available to it. Finally, each of the two active processes P0 and P3 can use the non-shareable resources of a different one of the remaining two processes to call a single sub-routine level.

In c), three tasks are active and the non-shareable resources of the one remaining task are available for use in the calling of a sub-routine by either of the active tasks P0 or P3. In d), the four tasks P0, P1, P2 and P3 are each active and none of the non-shareable resources is available for use in the calling of sub-routines.

The management of the various tasks will be further explained in relation to figure 4, which shows the scheduler 7, the stack-pointers 9 and 10, the tasks P0,

P1, P2 and P3 and their associated program counters Pc0, Pc1, Pc2 and Pc4 respectively. The controller 1 is adapted to execute branch control instructions, such as the instructions CALL and RETURN, which may appear in the series of instructions making up each of the four tasks P0, P1, P2 or P3.

In the embodiment of the invention illustrated in figure 4 a), it is possible to call sub-routines only from the task P0. The controller 1 is configured so that the stack-pointer 9 is attributed to the task P0. In this arrangement, the non-shareable resources of each of the tasks P1, P2 and P3, if available, can be used to call sub-routines within the task P0. The controller 1 is configured so that only the task enable switch PE0 is capable of being placed in a closed state, and thus only the task request signal Req0 is able to cause execution of the task.

The scheduler 7, in this example, is therefore always pointing to the task P0. At initialisation, the stack-pointer 9 is set to point to the address at which the program counter Pc0 is stored. The execution of a branch control instruction, such as a CALL instruction, causes a series of instructions stored in the ROM 2 to be initiated as a sub-routine from within the task P0. As will be appreciated by those skilled in the art, a branch control instruction such as CALL instruction includes the starting address of the sub-routine to be initiated. When a sub-routine is initiated in such a task, the address from which the sub-routine was initiated is firstly augmented to indicate the return address following the branch control instruction at which the task will recontinue when the sub-routine has ended. The value of the stack-pointer 9 is then adjusted to point to one of the unused program counters (Pc1, Pc2 or Pc3). During the execution of the sub-routine, this previously unused program counter keeps track of the instructions executed in the sub-routine.

When the execution of the sub-routine is finished, the stack-pointer 9 is readjusted to again point to the program counter Pc0 and the execution of the task is continued.

If more than one level of sub-routine is used, the value of the stack-pointer 9 is adjusted each time a new sub-routine is initiated to point to an unused program counter. In this manner, the controller 1 is adapted to initiate sub-routines from within a task without the need to store return addresses on a data stack in the RAM 12.

Preferrably, the program counters Pc0, Pc1, Pc2 and Pc3 have consecutive addresses so that the stack-pointer 9 can be simply incremented when a return address is saved and decremented when the execution of a sub-routine has been completed.

Whilst a) in figure 4 shows an arrangement wherein all the non-shareable resources of the controller 1 are able to be used from within the task P0, the controller 1 may be configured differently depending upon the application. As shown in figure 3, the task P0 may use three, two, one or no other levels of sub-routines. In each of these cases, the stack-pointer 9 is only available for use in conjunction with the non-shared resources allocated to the task P0 in that configuration. For example, in a configuration wherein the task P0 uses the non-shareable resources of the task P1 to define a level of sub-routine, the stack-pointer 9 is only available for use with the program counter of the process P1.

In the embodiment of the invention illustrated in figure 4 b), the controller 1 is arranged so that the stack-pointer 10 is available for use within the task P3. In this arrangement, the stack-pointer 10 may thus be used to point to whichever of the the program counters Pc2, Pc1 and Pc0 are used in such a task. It can be seen that in cases when each of the tasks P0 and P3 have one level of

sub-routine, both of the stack-pointers 9 and 10 will be used.

Whilst the stack-pointers 9 and 10 have been described in relation to the tasks P0 and P3 only, in other embodiments one or both of these stack-pointers may be associated with other tasks. Similarly, other embodiments of the controller 1 may comprise additional stack-pointers associated with the tasks P1 and/or P2, so any number of the tasks P0, P1, P2 or P3 can use the non-shareable resources of other ones of the remaining tasks, if these resources are available, to call sub-routines.

As will be understood by those skilled in that art, an unlimited number of sub-routines and sub-routine levels can at the same time be attributed to each of the tasks P0, P1, P2 and P3 by the construction of a series of instructions which replicate the above described functions of a branch control instruction.

Returning now to figure 2, the management of the internal and external events received by the controller 1 and the creation of appropriate task request signals will now be described. The events which can be directed towards the event bank 5 are divided into four groups, labelled gr0, gr1, gr2 and gr3. These events are used by the controller 1 to commence the treatment of its different tasks. Six events are associated with each of these event groups, four of which are internal events and two of which are external events. For each one of these event groups, its six events are summed in the event bank 5 in an OR function so as to produce an event group signal. Thus, the arrival of any one of the six events of a particular event group causes the event bank 5 to produce an event group signal corresponding to that event group.

Each event group signal thus produced is sent to the event router 8 which produces a task request signal corresponding to one of the task P0, P1, P2 or P3, depending upon the configuration of the event router 8.

Each task request signal is able to have either an active state, indicating the presence of one or more events directed towards a particular task, or an inactive state, indicating that no event directed towards that task is present.

When no event associated with a particular task request signal is present, it is possible to deactivate the task corresponding thereto. The execution of this task by the controller 1 is thus discontinued. When all of the task request signals are inactive, and accordingly no task is in execution, the controller 1 enters into a rest state where its power consumption is quasi-zero. However, when one or more events are present, the corresponding task request signal cannot be deactivated.

The various modes of configuration provided by the event router 8 are depicted in figure 5. Five such modes are possible in the present exemplary application. In mode 0, shown in a) of figure 5, all of the event group signals and therefore all of the events are directed towards the task P0. The multitasking controller 1 thus acts as a monoprocessor, sequentially executing the instructions of the task P0. The execution of the tasks P1, P2 and P3 cannot be requested by an event in the event bank 5, which thus frees each of the non-shareable resources of these tasks to enable sub-routines to be called from within the single task performed by the controller 1.

In mode 1, shown in b), the event group signals from the event groups gro, gr1 and gr2 are directed towards the task P0, whilst event group signals from the event group gr3 are directed towards the task 3. The non-shareable resources of the tasks P1 and P2 are available for use in calling sub-routines from within the tasks P0 and P3. In mode 2, shown in c), the tasks P0 and P3 receive the task request signals caused by event group signals from the event groups gr0 and gr1, and from the event groups gr2 and gr3, respectively. Again, the non-shareable resources

of the tasks P1 and P2 are available for use in calling sub-routines from within the tasks P0 and P3.

In mode 3, shown in d), the event group signals from event groups gr0 and gr1 are directed towards the task P0, whereas the event group signals from the event group gr2 are directed towards the task P2 and the event group signals from the event group gr3 are directed towards the task P3 exclusively. Only the non-shareable resources of the task P1 are available for calling a sub-routine. Finally, in the mode 4, shown in e), each of the tasks P0, P1, P2 and P3 receives the event group signal from the event groups gr0, gr1, gr2 and gr3 respectively. The user of the controller 1 is thus able to chose the mode of event routing which best corresponds to a particular application.

Finally, it is to be understood that various modifications and/or additions may be made to the multitasking controller 1 of the present invention without departing from the ambit of the present invention as defined in the claims appended hereto.

CLAIMS

1. A multitasking controller comprising:

- task storage means (2) for storing up to N tasks (P0,P1,P2,P3) each comprising a sequence of instructions,
- a microprocessor for processing, by time-sharing, a plurality of said N tasks, and
- data storage means (12) for storing variable data created and used by said microprocessor, characterised in that said microprocessor further comprises
 - a scheduler (7) realised in hardware for controlling the use of said microprocessor by said processes, and
 - program counter storage means for storing N program counters (Pc0,Pc1,Pc2,Pc3) each for use by said scheduler (7) to control the instruction sequence of a separate one of said N processes,so that said scheduler (7) is able select a different one of said program counters (Pc0,Pc1,Pc2,Pc3) when the task processed by said microprocessor is changed without requiring the transfer of data from said data storage means (12).

2. A multitasking controller according to claim 1, characterised in that said microprocessor further comprises

- accumulator value storage means (13) for storing a separate accumulator value for each of said N tasks, so that said microprocessor is able to select a different one of said accumulator values, when the task treated by said microprocessor is changed, without requiring the transfer of data from said data storage means (12).

3. A multitasking controller according to either one of said claims 1 or 2, characterised in that said microprocessor further comprises

- index value storage means (14) for storing a separate index value for each of said N tasks, so that said microprocessor is able to select a different one of said index values, when the task treated by said microprocessor is changed, without requiring the transfer of data from said data storage means (12).

4. A multitasking controller according to any one of the previous claims, characterised in that said scheduler (7) comprises a hardwired finite state machine.

5. A multitasking controller according to any one of claims 1 to 3, characterised in that said scheduler (7) comprises a programmable logic array.

6. A multitasking controller according to any one of claims 1 to 3, characterised in that said scheduler (7) comprises a ROM.

7. A multitasking controller according to any one of the previous claims, characterised in that said microprocessor is configurable so as to process a portion of each of X said tasks in turn, where $1 < X < N$, the program counter of one or more of the remaining N-X tasks being used by said microprocessor to control the instruction sequence of a sub-routine called from within said X tasks.

8. A multitasking controller according to claim 7, characterised in that said microprocessor further includes one or more stack-pointers (9,10) each for controlling the use of said program counters (Pc0,Pc1,Pc2,Pc3) used in the processing of a separate one of said X tasks.

9. A multitasking controller according to any one of the preceding claims, characterised in that said microprocessor further comprises an event router (8) for receiving an event group signal from a group of one or more event signals and producing a task request signal

(Req0,Req1,Req2,Req3) corresponding to a selected one of said X tasks,

said task request signal requesting said scheduler to execute said selected task.

10. A multitasking controller according to claim 9, characterised in that

each said event signal consists of either an external event signal from a device peripheral to said controller or an internal event signal caused by said microprocessor

11. A multitasking controller according to claim 10, characterised in that

said event router (8) is adapted to receive event group signals from each of N groups (gr0,gr1,gr2,gr3) of event signals.

12. A multitasking controller according to claim 11, characterised in that

said event router (8) is configurable so that a task request signal (Req0,Req1,Req2,Req3) corresponding to said selected task is produced in response to an event group signal received from one or more selected groups (gr0,gr1,gr2,gr3) of event signals.




Fig. 1






Fig. 2


a)



b)



c)



d)





Fig. 3






Fig. 5




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : G06F 9/46	A3	(11) International Publication Number: WO 94/15287
		(43) International Publication Date: 7 July 1994 (07.07.94)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP93/03498 (22) International Filing Date: 10 December 1993 (10.12.93)	(81) Designated States: CA, JP, KR, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(30) Priority Data: 3935/92-3 23 December 1992 (23.12.92) CH	Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): CENTRE ELECTRONIQUE HORLOGER S.A. [CH/CH]; 71, rue de la Maladière, CH-2007 Neuchâtel (CH).	(88) Date of publication of the international search report: 18 August 1994 (18.08.94)	
(72) Inventors; and		
(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): PEROTTO, Jean-Félix [CH/CH]; 23b, rue Notre-Dame, CH-2013 Colombier (CH). LAMOTHE, Christian [FR/CH]; 39, Bel-Air, CH-2000 Neuchâtel (CH).		
(74) Agent: ICB; Ingénieurs Conseils en Brevets S.A., 6, passage Max. Meuron, CH-2001 Neuchâtel (CH).		

(54) Title: MULTI-TASKING LOW-POWER CONTROLLER

(57) Abstract

The invention concerns a multitasking controller comprising task storage means (2) for storing up to N tasks (P0, P1, P2, P3) each comprising a sequence of instructions, a microprocessor for processing, by time-sharing, a plurality of said N tasks, and data storage means (12), for storing variable data created and used by said microprocessor. The microprocessor further comprises a scheduler (7) realised in hardware for controlling the use of said microprocessor by said processes, and program counter storage means for storing N program counters (Pc0, Pc1, Pc2, Pc3) each for use by said scheduler (7) to control the instruction sequence of a separate one of said N processes, so that said scheduler (7) is able to select a different one of said program counters (Pc0, Pc1, Pc2, Pc3) when the task processed by said microprocessor is changed without requiring the transfer of data from said data storage means (12).



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP 93/03498

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 5 G06F9/46

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 5 G06F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 12, no. 266 (P-735) 26 July 1988 & JP, A, 63 052 241 (HITACHI LTD) 5 March 1988	1-3, 8
Y	see abstract --- -/--	4-7

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "I" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

7 April 1994

Date of mailing of the international search report

17.06.94

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

WILTINK, G

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP 93/03498

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	<p>BYTE., vol.12, no.1, January 1987, ST PETERBOROUGH US pages 207 - 219 VINCENT J. COLI: 'Introduction to Programmable Array Logic' see page 207, left column, line 1 - middle column, line 2 see page 208, right column, line 8 - line 10 see page 219, left column, line 18 - line 65</p> <p>---</p>	4-6
Y	<p>EP,A,0 364 000 (UNISYS CORPORATION) 18 April 1990 see column 7, line 24 - line 28; figure 3</p> <p>---</p>	6
Y	<p>PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 7, no. 2 (P-166) 7 January 1983 & JP,A,57 161 941 (FUJITSU KK) 5 October 1982 see abstract</p> <p>---</p>	7
X	<p>PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 6, no. 70 (P-113) 6 May 1982 & JP,A,57 008 853 (MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC CORP) 18 January 1982 see abstract</p> <p>---</p>	1
X	<p>PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 15, no. 5 (P-1149) 8 January 1991 & JP,A,02 254 544 (MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC IND CO LTD) 15 October 1990 see abstract</p> <p>-----</p>	1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 93/ 03498

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☒ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

1-8

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INVITATION TO PAY ADDITIONAL FEES

International application No.
PCT/EP 93/03498

1. Claims 1-8 : Multitasking controller with multiple register sets.
2. Claims 9-12 : Routing of interrupt events.

The problem underlying the invention stated in the independent claim 1 is not novel and a solution to it has already been found (see documents 1,5 and 6 on the search report), not does the solution involve an inventive step having regard to the state of the art (see documents 1,2,3 and 4 of the search report). Therefore, the original single general inventive concept also covering the subject matter of the dependent claims is not acceptable anymore, making it necessary to re-establish the technical relationship or interaction of the very characteristic features stipulated in the dependent claims. This leads to a regrouping under different subjects as listed above, each subject now falling under its own distinct inventive concept, being a technically distinct and independent feature.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 93/03498

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP-A-0364000	18-04-90	US-A- 4779194	18-10-88
		US-A- 4796178	03-01-89
		CA-A- 1306308	11-08-92
		CA-A- 1299758	28-04-92
		EP-A, B 0243402	04-11-87
		EP-A- 0362903	11-04-90
		JP-T- 63501987	04-08-88
		WO-A- 8702486	23-04-87
